

BPA Recommendations for NAESB

Short-term Competitions Customer Workshop
November 13, 2012



BPA Clarification Recommendation

- NAESB Motion 11 States: “Redirect on a Firm basis shall be treated as any other ORIGINAL reservation and subject to preemption and competition on its own merit and afforded ROFR based on the nature of the challenging request. Conditionality of the Redirect on a Firm basis reservation shall be based on the service and term of the Redirect on a Firm basis reservation.”
- BPA believes that the Motion 11 does not make clear that the parent’s reservation capacity isn’t available for competition while in the ‘pending’ state.

BPA Clarification Recommendation

- The NAESB OS January 25-26, 2012 meeting minutes (ratified) on the 'Credit of Redirect' also supports modification of Motion 11 stating the following : 'The subcommittee decided that the standards needed to be modified to make clear the concept that when you evaluate the child for the possibility of receiving megawatts on a secondary path, the child releases the parent only for evaluation and not for decrementing capacity".
- BPA believes that the Motion 11 should be clarified to account for pending redirects that are subject to competition.

BPA Clarification Recommendation - Motion

- Pending Redirects on a Firm basis that are challenged and either don't match or lose the competition, the Parent Reservation transmission capacity would be returned to the Parent Reservation.